

A N C L E

Members: Alger; Membership: Laurra van Arragon;
Harding.

...intending to get in touch with you for a
...money to help out with 501. I don't
...bear the total cost of postage for the
... However as I think I explained to you
...a bit strait financially for a while.
...ten dollars to help out, and hope

...to see that you included Laura van Arragon
...she has certainly earned it. She has indeed
...the world, in a way can all be proud of. I
...great!

...about the choice of "606" as the name of the
...so sure that it is the best choice. Back in the
...and other narcotics, the only remedy for
...continuous compound as "606". I'm not sure
...grove embarrassment for that reason. Couldn't
...some other name? Another number? ? ?
...n't known in Australia but it certainly was in America.
...that I could with "606" admit that I was a member
..."Society", if you see the mean. It might have the
...implications, not intended so.

...we're all proud of it.

...ely,

Ferris

...Society Membership
...ready for delivery
...card also will bear
...\$10,000,6% leaving
...Name as such has
...seems adequate?
...call ourselves
...from me in
...rights
...ability Test'
...solvency

...ordered over a month ago, and
...rang my printer. However
...70 plus IQ people" and the
...the doubts you express Ferris.
...and for some time. None of these
...ve some suggestions. Or do we
...diety" ?
...sign a contract to allow sole
...or my 'Harding Stress-Fair
...Hopefully the start of an

...thing has a
...of coordinating
...workload
...ted Ferris
...ve recei

...his name from the official
...Steve finds himself with a
...l be said later. In his place
...ank both he and Steve for the

January 2, 1981

By this time I have been working intensively on the problem of a better ethics for mankind for the past fifteen years. At least eight to ten well-known ethologists, including three Nobel Prize winners, have devoted most of a lifetime to the same purpose. They, and others, have made valuable contributions toward this goal, but none have been able to succeed completely, and they do not understand why. I do, now, at last. There is a large body of vitally necessary information that is entirely missing from the sources they are searching, and they can not possibly succeed, because they do not even know it. They do not know where to find it, nor how to recognize it, nor how it fits the purpose, nor even what it is, nor why it is so vitally important.

I didn't know either until recently; though I sensed that something important was missing, without which complete success seemed to be hopeless. Then, three months ago, I found my answers. Now I can trace out and construct a model of the principal forces that shaped mankind, the forces of human ethology, the gifts that make humanity possible. No other primate has them. We are, indeed, unique. I now can, and I hope to, derive from this a sound system of humane ethics, which will make it possible for mankind to survive, and to realize their magnificent future potential, in the modern environment. In fact I think they cannot do so, nor long survive at all, otherwise.

No doubt many think that old-fashioned Judeo-Christian ethics is good enough, if only people would obey it, and ask what is wrong with it. To answer unflinchingly: Not many will obey it. It may be in essence a beautiful ideal, even obeyed somewhat by responsible and sensitive people of good intent who would instinctively obey good ethics anyway. Others will not. It has totally inadequate compulsive force for the arrogant unscrupulous hypocrites and morons and demagogues who force their way into most of the world's Establishments and governments; that is why they worship it. It has been perverted into a primary instrument for horrible tyranny, torture, treachery, war, and genocide, by the "Christian" nations for more than a thousand years.

Since 1810, we "civilized peoples" have deliberately murdered in anger more than seventy million fellow humans, by official count. Then obviously, if this be human ethics, it must lead to extermination of humankind without much compunction in a resource-depleted nuclear-armed world, either in the First Nuclear War or in a subsequent war. If mankind haven't learned to obey Judeo-Christian ethics in the past two thousand years they will not do so in the next twenty years. Yet I do admit I fear that even a better ethics may be adopted only by survivors of the First Nuclear War, and only if they are frightened enough, unless I can succeed in making an overwhelming impression beforehand.

Now I must get on with the task of setting forth the principles to lay the foundation of an ethical system on this basis. It must be done carefully, thoroughly, thoughtfully, completely; that will require all the rest of my normal life expectancy, for I am now sixty-seven. Even then, even at best, all I can hope to accomplish myself is to lay the foundations firmly. The task is much too large and complex to be completed by one person, even in an entire lifetime. It embraces the whole spectrum of human drives and behavior and relationships. Only I can, and I must, lay the proper foundation. But the structure of a viable modern ethics will require the work of more than one lifetime.

I must therefore establish an Institute for Human Ethics-Related Studies, staffed by the most competent ethologists available, to work out the necessary structure of a better ethics, and I must guide its initial course personally to assure its proper independent direction.

To accomplish this I shall need all the financial and moral support I can muster. But I believe firmly that the long-term survival of mankind will depend critically upon success in this endeavor.

Fourfold Philosophies:

A Precis

by Ronald K. Hoeflin

Chris Harding asked me to write a brief summary for this newsletter of a philosophical theory I have been developing in preparation for the writing of my doctoral dissertation. I can do little more than summarize a few of my conclusions here. Anyone who would like to see a fuller exposition of these ideas may write to me (Ron Hoeflin, 439 W. 50th St., New York, N.Y. 10019, U.S.A.) for a free copy of the March 1981 issue of Vidya, a monthly journal I edit for the Triple Nine Society, where a 25-page statement of my theory appears.

What I have discovered is a single pattern that underlies all of the following: (1) Zeno's paradoxes of motion, (2) Aristotle's causes, (3) Kant's categories, (4) Whitehead's stages constitutive of an actual entity, and (5) Stephen Pepper's world hypotheses and their so-called root metaphors.

This pattern is based on a very familiar philosophical concept: the distinction between internal and external relations. (See "Relations, Internal and External," by Richard M. Rorty in The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. by Paul Edwards.) Traditionally, this distinction applies to special relations, but I

have found that it can be applied to temporal relations as well, yielding the following four possible combinations:

- (1) strong spacial synthesis, strong temporal synthesis
- (2) strong spacial synthesis, weak temporal synthesis
- (3) weak spacial synthesis, strong temporal synthesis
- (4) weak spacial synthesis, weak temporal synthesis

where "strong synthesis" refers to internal relations and "weak synthesis" refers to external relations.

The distinction between internal and external relations corresponds to the distinction between the essential and the accidental, respectively, and this latter distinction amounts to this: something is essential if it is rule-governed and something is accidental if it is not rule-governed. A rule is simply a procedure for organizing data into a coherent whole.

I try to show that the fourfold pattern given above lies at the root of all five of the philosophical theories listed earlier. Kant's categories provide a particularly challenging case. I have recently succeeded in deriving ten of Kant's categories using the above pattern plus some simple ideas from modal logic and many-valued logic. Many recent critics have cast scorn on Kant's categories, particularly on his claim that they constitute a complete inventory of "pure concepts of the understanding." My theory shows in precisely what way his categories may be regarded as complete

of which will depend on various other factors in the theory.

ISPE Member Grady M. Towers pointed out to me that the Skyscraper, in that it measured ability rather than intelligence, should show a positive or high end skew quite unlike standard IQ tests. Of course standard tests do show such high end skew distribution beyond the ranges in which the test has been correctly normed (to conform to normal distribution). Since the Skyscraper has been normed to so conform buried within the raw score to quotient relationship should be contained the skew Towers spoke of. I examined the published norm range of the scale I20-I59+ and found that the skew followed a 5th-power distribution!. IQ tests taken to an extreme level (ie 50I's 99.999+ %ile cut off) become more measures of a special aptitude than general capacity. Thus they too must show the effect or distinction between that which is general (normally distributed) and some specific measure. But we do claim to measure this throughout the scale. I have worked the exact figures for the test out over a wide range using the 5th-power "law" which shows a maximum one point error over the 40 points used to obtain "best fit". I include the table for the highest age range of the test (31-56 years). Note that the test ceiling with high end skew correction falls to only I8I AQ some what below the previous assumed figure of 2I9 but still representing the greatest ceiling of any test in the world today!. The figure of I8I would occur roughly once in six-million times!. The figures below are on a base of I6 (SD).

214 - I00	517 - I30	I457 - I60		
219 I01	535 I31	I505 I61		
224 I02	554 I32	I556 I62		
229 I03	573 I33	I607 I63	<u>Age Correction</u>	<u>Ceiling</u>
235 I04	593 I34	I661 I64	20	+6 I87
240 I05	614 I35	I715 I65	21	+5 I86
247 I06	636 I36	I772 I66	22	+4 I85
253 I07	659 I37	I830 I67	23/4	+3 I84
260 I08	682 I38	I890 I68	25	+2 I83
267 I09	706 I39	I952 I69	26-30	+1 I82
274 I10	732 I40	2016 I70	31-56	0 I81
282 I11	758 I41	2082 I71	57-65	+1 I82
290 I12	785 I42	2149 I72	66-70	+2 I83
298 I13	813 I43	2219 I73	71	+3 I84
307 I14	842 I44	2290 I74	72	+4 I85
316 I15	871 I45	2364 I75	73	+5 I86
326 I16	902 I46	2439 I76	74	+6 I87
336 I17	934 I47	2517 I77		
346 I18	968 I48	2597 I78		
357 I19	I002 I49	2680 I79		
369 I20	I037 I50	2765 I80		
381 I21	I074 I51	2852 I81		
394 I22	I111 I52	2917 I81+ (Ceiling).		
407 I23	I150 I53			
421 I24	I191 I54			
436 I25	I232 I55			
451 I26	I275 I56			
466 I27	I320 I57			
482 I28	I364 I58			
499 I29	I410 I59			

THE 50I SOCIETIES ONE-IN-ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND CUT OFF SELECTION LEVEL OCCURES AT ~~219~~ I68.32 AQ, or I910 raw score for the peak adult age range. For all practical purposes the AQ/IQ after correction become I69.

I have contacted the Guinness Book of records with the wish to claim a world record for the Harding Skyscraper. While the claim must be lowered from a previous 219 to I81 only, we still appear to be in the clear. If any member knows of work being done to develop any test(s) with higher ceiling range please let me know. My own survey of the literature does not reveal any such test currently in the pipeline.

